

Number	Name	Date Received	For or against	Synopsis (please see attachment for full comments)
1	<a href="#">Mark Healy</a>	August 21, 2019	General Inquiry	General Inquiry requesting information and how to access CBEMP. Submitted a PDF map showing four NRI areas (equilibration area for NRI #4).
2	<a href="#">Carol Sanders</a>	August 25, 2019	Against	Harmful to Dungeness crab and Salmon. Recreational and commercial fishing will be decimated. A 1-2% increase in LNG export is not enough to justify the devastation of the Bay. 401 Water Quality permit was denied by ODEQ. Dredging to "maintain adequate depth to permit continuation of present navigation." is a drastic change of the Channel.
3	<a href="#">Jan Hodder</a>	August 23, 2019	Against	<p>Justification for the need for NRI #4 is not supported. Coast Guard letter demonstrating Pilots can safely and successfully maneuver vessels up the sizes that JCEP intends to use within the Bay as is.</p> <p>Captain of the Port's May 10, 2018 letter, "I recommend to the Federal Regulatory Commission that the waterway in its current state be considered suitable for the LNG marine traffic associated with the proposed project."</p> <p>Justification of need based on Statewide Planning Goals is inadequate.</p> <p>Applicant's Exhibit MM – has no details of how the comparison between the environmental condition limits and historical environmental data was calculated - There is no way to verify their conclusion.</p> <p>Inadequate Spill Control Prevention Plan</p>

4	<p><a href="#">Kathy A. (Received as part of "No LNG Postcards") (sent 3 postcards all containing the same information)</a></p> <p><a href="#">Rebecca M. (Received as part of "No LNG Postcards")</a></p> <p><a href="#">Susan B. (Received as part of "No LNG Postcards")</a></p> <p><a href="#">Illegible name (Received as part of "No LNG Postcards")</a></p> <p><a href="#">Ellen L. (Received as part of "No LNG Postcards")</a></p> <p><a href="#">Nancy M. (Received as part of "No LNG Postcards")</a></p>	August 21-22, 2019	Against	Project will cause irreparable harm to coastal communities, natural resources, and economy. Has not acquired a 401 Water Quality Certification. Project is not consistent with the Oregon Coastal Management Plan. Asks City Council to oppose the LNG and Pacific Connector Pipeline project.
5	<p><a href="#">Mike Graybill</a></p>	August 23, 2019	Against	Hundreds of pages of the materials in the exhibits have little, if any, direct nexus to NRI #4. Disappointed to learn the analysis completed for the planning commission was reduced to a single sentence in the commission staff report. Reference previously submitted extensive comments and exhibits during planning commission open record periods. Asks Council to consider comments and refer to his substantive comments and exhibits.
6	<p><a href="#">Jim Hough</a></p>	August 23, 2019	For	Benefits of the LNG export terminal far outweigh the risks. Believes all the risks can be managed and minimized. Southern Oregon coast economy needs help and this project can be an economic boost to the entire western US coast. It's time to take action and make something that will benefit everyone.
7	<p><a href="#">Amy Levin</a></p>	August 22, 2019	Against	Concerned City Council is considering allowing dredging by a fossil fuel emitter. Council should not allow any changes to the CBEMP. Proposal will destroy estuarine habitat, oyster beds, fish and local water resources. Proposal needs to be voted down and denied. Focus should be on sustainable, alternative energy sources.

8	<a href="#">Christine Moffitt</a>	August 26, 2019	Against	Applicant has not provided evidence to support claims that a “demonstrated need” exists for the proposed dredging. Coast Guard letter from May 10, 2018 recommends the Channel is suitable for LNG marine traffic. Assessments by David Evans (Exhibit HH, Attachment B, April 18, 2019 is inaccurate. Submittal of Dredge Pollution Control Plan does not imply acceptance.
9	<a href="#">Confederated Tribes of the Coos, Lower Umpqua and Siuslaw Indians</a>	August 26, 2019	Neutral	Proposes revision of staff recommended conditions of approval #3, #4, #6. Recommends the IWWW period be shortened (to end on February 1). Applicant does not show evidence that there is a need for the proposal. Regardless of whether CBEMP Policy #5 applies, the applicant must demonstrate a need for the exception. Coast Guard indicating in their letter that the current Channel is suitable for LNG marine traffic.
10	<a href="#">Ann Vileisis, President,</a>	August 26, 2019	Against	Kalmiopsis Audubon Society with more than 400 members are concerned about conserving natural resources and wildlife habitat on Oregon’s south coast. Request Council to reject the proposal. Proposal will harm the unique and important aquatic estuarine habitats of Coos Bay.
11	<a href="#">Margalee James</a>	August 26, 2019	Against	Requests Council deny the application. Coast Guard has indicated the Channel in its present state is adequate for LNG marine traffic. OAR-660-004-0022 “maintain adequate depth to permit continuation of present navigation.” Would go beyond maintenance into unnecessary enhancement. ODEQ denied a 401 Water Quality permit. Negatively impact crabbing, fishing, and other recreational activities In the Bay.

12	<a href="#">Steven Pfeiffer, Perkins Coie, Applicant's Representative</a>	August 27, 2019		Recommended revisions to conditions of approval.
13	<a href="#">Andy Nasburg</a>	August 24, 2019	For	Evolution of Coos Bay's economy from fishing and agriculture to timber and related products. Economy has suffered, lost tax revenues. Property taxes have increased, local businesses have closed, and too many buildings sit vacant. LNG facility would be a benefit to the community. Port is ideally situated. Dredging would be minimal compared to other Ports along the west coast.
14	<a href="#">Oregon State Agency Comments on FERC's Draft Environmental Impact Statement for Docket Nos. CP 17-494-000 and CP 17-495-000 (Jordan Cove Energy Project LP and Pacific Connector Gas Pipeline LP) DOJ (received from Sarah Reif, ODFW)</a>	August 27, 2019	Referral Comments	Oregon State agencies evaluation of FERC's DEIS on the larger project (does include aspects of dredging). Please refer to report for details.
15	<a href="#">Comprehensive Plan Amendment 187-000153: Jordan Cove Energy Project Estuary Navigation and Reliability Improvements (received from Sarah Reif, ODFW)</a>	August 27, 2019	Referral Comments	Truncating IWWW from February 15 to February 1 would reduce the potential for dredge impacts and siltation to the herring spawn/egg masses which are typically deposited about mid-February in Coos Bay. Please refer to report for details
16	<a href="#">Oregon Shores Conservation Coalition (Crag Law Center) (Received Part 1, Part 2.1, Part 2.2, Part 3, and Final)</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Includes Oregon State agencies comments as part of submittal. Contrary to staff's recommendation that a denial is the more supported conclusion, Planning Commission voted to recommend approval. Question whether Planning Commission's recommendation for approval was proper on the basis of materials submitted by the applicant. McCullough Research found the JCEP project is likely not economically viable. Please refer to OSCC's comments for details.

17	<a href="#">Alice Carlson of the League of Women Voters of Coos County</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Proposal in violation of the CBEMP. Natural Aquatic areas shall be managed to preserve the natural resources. Conditions required for an exception do not exist, and therefore the request for a rezone should be denied. Despite staff's recommendation of denial, Coos Bay Planning Commission recommended approval. Unable to find analysis refuting the conclusion in the staff report.
18	<a href="#">Rogue Climate Action (Coos Bay Chapter)</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Submittal of approximately 300 signatures in opposition of proposal.
19	<a href="#">Down to earth article (no name on submittal)</a>	August 27, 2019		Submittal of an article titled "Jordan Cove Would Be Oregon's Top Carbon Polluter If Built."
20	<a href="#">Mr. and Mrs. L.J. Lawson</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Located downwind of Coos Bay. Any air pollution Coos Bay may experience will be felt in our city. Proposal has no public benefit. 1,000 temporary jobs will leave the area with a development nightmare of temporary housing, fluctuating rents, housing prices, and traffic pollution.
21	<a href="#">Shannon Souza, P.E.</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Application fails to demonstrate that the requested Goal 16 exception would result in a net positive way to the public interest as well as the need for the exception to meet the City's Goal 9 economic development obligations.
22	<a href="#">Sam Schwarz (Surfrider Foundation)</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Proposal will unreasonably interfere with public trust rights, including fishing, navigation and recreation. Initial and maintenance dredging of NRI 4 will result in a loss of productivity of the Bay for commercial fish and shellfish. Urges decision makers to support staff's recommendation that denial is the more supported conclusion.

23	<a href="#">Bonnie Joyce</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Not appropriate for Pembina to submit this application while it still has so many other applications under review. Applicant has not shown the proposal is in the public interest, nor that it does not violate the CBEMP or Goal 6 and Goal 16 of the Oregon Statewide Planning Goals.
24	<a href="#">Bill Beck</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Urges denial of proposal. Short term economic boosts are not worth the long-term environmental impacts. Boom and bust proposal.
25	<a href="#">Suzanne Ross</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Urges Council to deny the proposal. Detrimental to the eco balance of the Bay and habitat of fish and crabs.
26	<a href="#">Emily Church</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Insufficient need when compared to the public use. Detrimental to the health of the Bay and its inhabitants. Proposal will ruin the health of our community. Please protect our Bay.
27	<a href="#">James M</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Environment, flora and fauna are all in danger because of the proposal. Cascadia earthquake could cause great harm and destroy the facility and endanger lives. Noise, odors and escaped gas. How many times do we have to say "no" to Jordan Cove?
28	<a href="#">Mary O'Neill</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Long term impact of the proposal and pipeline are unstable. Community does not want a foreign interest taking our lands away.
29	<a href="#">Suzanne Church</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Proposal is within a seismic zone that's close to the population and homes. Dredging would severely impact the Bay. Proposal will destroy a beautiful place. Please do not allow this.
30	<a href="#">A Marie Rupert-McKeeman</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Earthquake concerns. Proposal would impact the airport if there were an explosion. Harmful to wildlife, fishing, and crabbing. Proposal requires high skilled personnel that

				don't exist locally. No housing for temporary workers. Please deny the proposal.
31	<a href="#">K Cottrell</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Deception of Pembina. There will be no jobs for our citizens. Outside skilled workers will be brought in. Cannot reconstruct historical estuaries. Destruction of tribal lands. Will create a serious housing epidemic.
32	<a href="#">Patrick Bringarnder</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Short term economic benefits do not outweigh the environmental impacts. Decline in real estate home values. Devastating consequences should a tsunami occur. Health risks associated with the construction, implementation and decommission of the LNG.
33	<a href="#">Joyce Fletcher</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Must protect the natural environment. Public Trust rights will be violated as the dredging will disrupt the ecosystem.
34	<a href="#">Ashley Audycki</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Collected over 300 petitions from people who oppose the proposal. ODEQ denied a Section 401 Clean Water Act permit. ODEQ provides evidence that the proposal does not comply with Oregon's water protections. LCOG has made it clear that Pembina should not get a permit from the City of Coos Bay until they get the permit from ODEQ. Detrimental to fishing and tourism, which just crabbing brings in 60-70 million dollars annually.
35	<a href="#">Laurie Friedman</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Urge the City to deny this application. Proposed dredging would have a devastating impact on the delicate eco-system. Eelgrass in these natural protected areas are crucial for the continued health of the crab population. So many people enjoy the Bay for its beauty and recreational opportunities.

36	<a href="#">Debra Johnson</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Proposal is harmful to the natural ecosystem, local economy and recreational uses. LNG traffic will limit access to the Bay, crabbing, fishing, kayaking, and commercial clam harvest. Dredging will impact estuarine species. ODEQ denied a 401-water quality permit because turbidity will exceed acceptable standards.
37	<a href="#">Cecelea</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Renewable energy is the way of the future. Business and money should not subvert the will of the people. Jordan Cove will leave, and we will be stuck wit the “clean-up”. There are not enough jobs that will go to local people to warrant Jordan Cove.
38	<a href="#">Maria Farinacci</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Applicant does not adequately address the effects of the adjoining portions of the estuary. Project is not in the public interest. Detrimental to health and welfare of the Bay.
39	<a href="#">Oregon Department of Energy – Energy Facility Siting Council (no name)</a>	August 27, 2019		Please refer to report for details. No name.
40	<a href="#">John Clarke</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Submitted an FAA report. Please refer to report for details.
41	<a href="#">Mike Washburn</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Coast Guard letter indicating Channel is adequate for LNG. No need to dredge the Bay. Public Trust rights will be violated. Strongly support denial of the proposal.
42	<a href="#">Barb Shamet</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Opposes any and all permits related to Jordan Cove. Violates Oregon Constitution to ensure public rights of way shall remain “forever free” on Oregon waterways. Proposal violates the livability, livelihood, economic, aesthetic, fishing and tourist industry.



43	<a href="#">Barb Shamet</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Submitted a letter dated December 8, 2014 to FERC titled "Who's in Charge?" Please refer to letter to read it in its entirety.
44	<a href="#">Wolfgang Schwarz</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Submitted letter dated February 15, 2019. Concerned about climate change by the proposed LNG project. Likelihood of increased forest fires in Coos County. LNG project detrimental to Salmon and trout runs and could even impact snowpack project will create air pollution and could make it difficult for people to breath.
45	<a href="#">Chuck Erickson</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	We do not need more habitat destruction of Chinook Salmon smolts. More ships will increase invasive species. This project spells doom to fish and wildlife.
46	<a href="#">Todd Buchholz &amp; Jean Cassidy, Ph.D.</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	JCEP would unreasonably interfere with public trust rights including those associated with fishing, navigation, and recreation. Not possible for a recreational boat to safely move far enough away from safety/security zone including region NRI 4 when considering the Coast Guard safety/security zone of 500 yards.
47	<a href="#">Janis Lloyd</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	When LNG tankers are transiting the Bay would be restricted to other users. Earthquake and tsunami concerns, as well as terrorism. The proposal is not in the public interest. An EIS must be completed first. Significant impact on fisheries and eelgrass. Council needs to represent it's citizens.
48	<a href="#">Mike Graybill - Synopsis of reason to support denial of a request to dredge areas of the estuary zoned 52-NA natural aquatic. Submitted during public testimony presented to City Council.</a>  <a href="#">Part 2- Also submitted copy of oral testimony read in front of City Council on August 27.</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Staff recommends denial of the proposal. The "reason" given to justify a Goal 16 exception is wrongly applied. The applicant's assertions regarding the productivity of sub tidal habitats are inadequately substantiated. Application fails to show how dredging NRI #4 will enhance the economic prospects of Coos Bay or the region. Please see letter submitted for further details.

49	<a href="#">Dr. Alicia R. Marroquin, MA, PhD Scholar of Esoteric Studies</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	JCEP will greatly disrupt the towns, beaches and waterways. Bay will be inaccessible for 120 days of the year. Increased carbon emissions by 25%. Create unnecessary dangers. Create an eyesore along highway 101.
50	<a href="#">Jacqueline Shipman</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Listen to the will of your constituents. We do not want Pembina. If you change the CBEMP you're essentially condoning the idea and use of eminent domain by a foreign corporation. ODEQ said JCEP's plan to "keep our water clean" is insufficient.
51	<a href="#">Anne Bellomy</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	Natural aquatics play an essential role in the functioning of the estuarine ecosystem. The proposed dredging will cause serious turbidity issues. Agree with LCOG's recommendation to deny the application. The Channel is sufficiently wide and deep to allow current and forecast ship sizes.
52	<a href="#">Natalie Ranker</a>	August 27, 2019	Against	There is no need for more dredging at NRI 4 according to evidence in the record. Coast Guard state the waterway is suitable for LNG marine traffic. FERC DEIS also stated the Channel is suitable to support LNG carriers that would call on the terminal. JCEP does not show how the proposal is in the public interest. Dredging at NRI 4 will result in a loss of productivity of the Bay in both fish and shellfish.
53	<a href="#">Dennis and Kathi Netter</a>	August 21, 2019	Against	Insufficient need other than profit for a foreign owned company and a few local interests. Coast Guard already indicated the Channel is sufficient. Recreational and commercial shellfish, crustacean and other fisheries would be negatively impacted.

Comments and Public Inquiries Received for Application No. 187-18-00153: Jordan Cove Energy Navigation and Efficiency and Reliability of the Coos Bay Deep Draft Navigation Channel for City Council Public Hearing on August 27, 2019.