

Number	Name	Date Received	For or against	Synopsis (please see attachment for full comments)
1	Kristi Kelly	August 28, 2019	Against	Please listen to the people who are saying "No" to dirty energy, dirty food, dirty water, and dirty air.
2	Fiona Bai of Oregon International Port of Coos Bay	August 28, 2019	For	Coos Bay is not a stranger to dredging and shipping activities. The recommended conditions of approval require accountability from local, state and federal agencies. There is an economic need for this application. Each additional vessel call is estimated at 1.3 million dollars in direct and indirect economic value to the region. Over 6,000 jobs during construction and 215 permanent local jobs once the facility is operational.
3	Bernadette Kapocias	August 28, 2019	Against	Oppose the dredging permit in Coos Bay. It would be an environmental disaster, and not amount of money would make the disaster okay.
4	Lois Foster	August 30, 2019	Against	Deeply disappointed in the Jordan Cove project moving ahead. Big business overwhelming natural treasures. Few local jobs once the building is finished.
5	John and Michele Greb	August 30, 2019	For	JCEP will create part-time and permanent jobs. If Oregon is going to be competitive in the world market, its need to have businesses like Jordan Cove. The project will bring in millions of dollars for both the state and individuals for decades to come. The Project goes through our property, but we feel it's worthwhile for Oregon to move forward.
6	Jonathan Perez (Chief representative for Shasta Nation)	August 27, 2019	Against	Requests all actions and planning for JCEP stop. Shasta Nation has been privy to requests or actions. Gas will be extracted in our territory. Being left out is inexcusable.

7	Lydia Delgado	August 27, 2019	Against	Opposed to amending the current land use plan and permits to enable dredging for the proposed LNG project. Do not need an increase in local and international pollution. Do not need this facility with all its risks for fire and leaks in an earthquake and tsunami zone. Construction will only benefit in the short term, and mostly go to inland workers and the landlords who will rent to them. Do not let fossil fuels trash our Bay.
8	Wendy Davis and Buck Bowling	August 31, 2019	Against	Coos Bay's economy is no longer dependent on the fishing or logging industry. Look at the larger picture and the long-term effects of this decision. We don't need JCEP. Our economy is slowly beginning to recover and improve and will continue to do so.
9	Andrea Pellicani	September 3, 2019	Against	The proposal puts our waterways at risk and is detrimental to the fishing and tourism industries. The applicant failed to demonstrate that the LNG project is consistent with the enforceable policies of Oregon's Coastal Management Plan. Also, the project is not consistent with Statewide Planning Goal 6.
10	Alan Bartl	September 3, 2019	Against	The proposal puts our waterways at risk and is detrimental to the fishing and tourism industries. The applicant failed to demonstrate that the LNG project is consistent with the enforceable policies of Oregon's Coastal Management Plan. Also, the project is not consistent with Statewide Planning Goal 6.
11	Jenny Jones	September 3, 2019	Against	Consider petitioning US Representative Peter DeFazio for more port/dredge funds. The LNG pipeline will be much larger in diameter, require more pressure, and be more fragile as a rigid structure than home or small commercial installations.
12	Ann C. King	September 3, 2019	Against	Proposal will damage fishing in the area. Proposal will likely pollute local waters. Possible earthquake and tsunami would damage the LNG facility. Landowners required to make their land available at no added benefit.

13	Pat Walker	September 9, 2019	Against	Same business industry and structure as ENRON. Protect the community, and the world. Pembina is bad business.
14	Richt	September 9, 2019	Against	Strongly oppose JCEP LNG and Pacific Connector Pipeline because it will cause irreparable harm to Oregon's coastal communities. JCEP has not obtained an approved 401 Water Quality Certification.
15	Judy Gilbert	September 17, 2019	For	Project will bring growth and revenue to our community. Influx of new workers will not only boost revenue for local businesses, but also provide a stronger property tax base to support our schools.
16	Arla Ford	September 19, 2019	Against	Very concerned about damage to the fragile ecosystem. Baby crab and other sea creatures need the consistent presence of eelgrass and other habitat for their very existence.
17	Sarah Moreno	September 19, 2019	For	Operator with Local 701. Supports JCEP's proposal.
18	Ron Dudas	September 21, 2019	Against	As a scuba diver, has seen even the least amount of dredging does to the seafloor. Dredging generates silt and has the ability to kill every living aquatic plant and animal in the Bay. Proposal will kill the tourism industry and will eliminate about 3,000 jobs.
19	Marieann Soo	September 22, 2019	Against	Please do not issue the permits to dredge in our Bay. It will permanently damage fishing and crabbing in the area. We gain nothing from this project. One day they will walk away, and we'll be left with a huge mess and another unoccupied business.
20	Jim Hough	September 23, 2019	For	Supports the Jordan Cove Energy Project. Retired CPA. Owns property located directly on Isthmus Slough. Doesn't feel that his property will be de-valued nor adversely affected by the project.

21	Anne Bryant	September 23, 2019	Against	No amount of eelgrass planting can mitigate the permeant damage that will occur. Because of LNG's explosive cargo, no other boat traffic will be able to come into or out the Bay. Tourism and fishing fleet will be negatively impacted. Who would want to live in a blast zone in the event of an explosion? As renewable energy will soon become cheaper than fracked gas, Coos Bay will forever be stuck with Pembina's stranded asset.
22	Ron Robinson	September 23, 2019	For	Vice President of Coos Bay Energy. Supports JCEP's proposal. Exploration/extraction company in Coos County working on the production of methane gas from our own coal bed reserves.
23	Ken Manzanares	September 24, 2019	For	Our industry is trying to make the world a better place by providing other countries the cleanest fossil fuel known to man. JCEP is going to help us do that. By not voting or backing this project we are doing others an injustice and the earth.
24	Joan Simons	September 24, 2019	For	Support the JCEP project. It will provide jobs and benefit the economy of the area.
25	Debra Anderson	September 24, 2019	For	Colorado Alliance of Mineral and Royalty Owners strongly supports approval of the Coos Bay Estuarine Permit application. Project has been years in the making by a series of professional who understand the importance of protecting the environment, while designing a project that will be of great benefit not only to the community, but the state of Oregon.
26	Ron Kutch	September 24, 2019	For	Urging the City to approve JCEP's request to modify the timeline for lane use application no. 187-18-000153. There's been plenty time to review. Let's not let this drag out any longer.
27	Kristi Kelly	September 25, 2019	Against	We have been warned over the last 50 years, that there will be a reckoning for our reckless disregard of our environment. We have an opportunity to do something at this time in history. Let's not make this a missed

				opportunity. No on fossil fuels. No on Jordan Cove. No on LNG.
28	Jody McCaffree	September 27, 2019	Against	JCEP is proposing a temporary dredge transport pipeline to go in an area where JCEP is proposing a mitigation project that has yet to be approved. JCEP's proposed dredging does not meet requirements for a goal exception. Project would increase water volume that is found in the Bay and therefore would increase flooding hazard and tsunami inundation. There is still no public interest for the project as required by CBEMP Policy #5. No consideration given for the negative impacts in conjunction with projected rising sea levels. Viable environmental and economic analysis has yet to be provided by the applicant. Habitat would be totally destroyed by the removal of 5.7 million cubic yards in tidal areas for JCEP's marine terminal. Marine mammals are particularly sensitive to noise pollution, once in operation the LNG facility would be extremely noisy.
29	Steven Pfeiffer, Applicant's representative (first open record period submittal)	September 27, 2019	For	Please see applicant's detailed submittal.
30	Lauralyn E.	September 30, 2019	Against	Post card received. Opposes LNG and Pacific Connector Pipeline because it would cause irreparable harm to Oregon's beloved coastal communities, natural resource and local economy. JCEP has not acquired many of the local and state permits, including a 401 Water Quality Certification. The Council should find the proposal is not consistent with the Oregon Coastal Management Plan.

Comments and Public Inquiries Received for Application No. 187-18-00153: Jordan Cove Energy Navigation and Efficiency and Reliability of the Coos Bay Deep Draft Navigation Channel for City Council Public Hearing on August 27, 2019.